

SUSX-TH-01-032
 RHCPP 01-03T
 hep-th/0108131
 July 2001

STANDARD-LIKE MODELS FROM INTERSECTING D4-BRANES

D.BAILIN^{♣ 1}, G. V. KRANIOTIS^{♣ 2} and A. LOVE[♠]

♣ *Centre for Theoretical Physics,
 University of Sussex,
 Brighton BN1 9QJ, U.K.*

♠ *Centre for Particle Physics ,
 Royal Holloway and Bedford New College,
 University of London, Egham,
 Surrey TW20-0EX, U.K.*

ABSTRACT

We construct a one-parameter set of intersecting D4-brane models, with six stacks, that yield the (non-supersymmetric) standard model plus extra vector-like matter. Twisted tadpoles and gauge anomalies are cancelled, and the model contains all of the Yukawa couplings to the tachyonic Higgs doublets that are needed to generate mass terms for the fermions. A string scale in the range 1 – 10 TeV and a Higgs mass not much greater than the current bound is obtained for certain values of the parameters, consistently with the observed values of the gauge coupling constants.

¹D.Bailin@sussex.ac.uk

²G.Kraniotis@sussex.ac.uk

The use of D-branes in Type II string theories has led to exciting new possibilities for constructing models with realistic gauge groups and three generations of chiral matter [1]. Since D-branes carry gauge fields localised on their world volumes, an attractive approach is to start from a configuration of D-branes designed to give the standard model gauge group, possibly augmented by additional $U(1)$ factors, and to introduce further D-branes to produce at least the required matter content. The branes are located at an $R^6/\mathbb{Z}_N$ orbifold fixed point, to ensure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, and the whole system is then embedded in a global compact space, an orbifold or orientifold, for example. Further D-branes and/or Wilson lines as well as anti-D-branes are added to ensure the cancellation of twisted tadpoles and the overall consistency of the theory. This is the “bottom-up” approach pioneered in [2], who also showed that only the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ point group can yield three chiral generations of matter. Extra vector-like matter is generic to such models, and it was shown in [3] that this leads to gauge unification at an intermediate string scale between about 10^{10} and 10^{12} GeV, consistently with the measured value of $\sin^2 \theta_W(m_Z)$. Despite their attractions these models are not without defects. Specifically, the unavoidable extra D-branes needed to construct the model generate additional gauge groups under which some of the standard model matter is charged. So these gauge groups are not hidden from the observable sector, and the absence of data for such extra gauge groups must be taken as evidence against such models. Further, some of the Yukawa terms needed to generate masses for the quarks and leptons are forbidden by surviving global $U(1)$ symmetries. Also, these global symmetries are spontaneously broken when electroweak symmetry breaking occurs, thereby generating a keV-scale axion [4] which is unambiguously excluded by axion searches.

A different route to the standard model was motivated by Berkooz, Douglas and Leigh’s observation [5] that intersecting D-branes can give rise to chiral fermions propagating in the intersection of their world volumes. D-branes at angles afford a T-dual interpretation as D-branes with magnetic flux [6], and based on that paper the stringy methods for what are now called “intersecting brane models” were developed in [7]. This last paper led Aldazabal et al [8, 9] to develop (non-supersymmetric) four-dimensional chiral models from intersecting D4-branes wrapped on 1-cycles of a 2-dimensional torus T^2 sitting at a singular point in the transverse 4-dimensional space B ³. The local geometry of B near the singularity is modelled as $C^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$. This gives an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge sector. Since the models are generically non-supersymmetric, by virtue of their matter content, solution of the hierarchy problem requires a string scale of not more than 10 TeV or so, although the usual four-dimensional Planck mass can be obtained by making the volume of B large enough. The generic appearance at tree level of scalar tachyons at some intersections allows the interpretation of doublets as electroweak Higgs scalars, although colour-triplet and/or charged singlet tachyons are potentially lethal for theories purporting to be realistic. However, provided that their masses are small compared with the string

³ For other recent work on intersecting brane models, both supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric, and their phenomenological implications, see reference [10].

scale, radiative corrections might overcome this defect. Although these models are non-supersymmetric, they typically contain extra matter, besides that in the standard model, some of which can be light. In particular there are towers of “gonions”, massive (fermionic and bosonic), vector-like matter arising from excitations associated with the angle at which the D-branes intersect, and which are charged with respect to the standard model gauge group. There are also Kaluza-Klein and winding-mode towers of gauge bosons which might also exist below the string scale.

All of these features make intersecting brane models susceptible to experimental investigation in the near future, so it is clearly desirable to construct models that are consistent with existing data. A first step in this programme was made in [9] where some three-generation models were constructed using five stacks of D4-branes, each stack wrapping a different 1-cycle of T^2 . Note that two stacks are different if their 1-cycles intersect, or if they are parallel but not overlapping. The stacks sit at a singular point of the transverse space B , taken to be $(T^2)^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$. Our objective in this paper is to construct models which besides satisfying the technical constraints of Ramond-Ramond tadpole and anomaly cancellation also satisfy more of the phenomenological constraints. Specifically, we require that the models allow the (renormalizable) Yukawa couplings needed to generate mass terms for all standard model matter. The gauge couplings in these models are *not* unified at the string scale; they depend upon the wrapping numbers of the particular stack of D4-branes with which they are associated. So we also require that these values are achieved at a string scale of not more than about 10 TeV using renormalization group equations starting from the measured values of the gauge coupling strengths at the weak scale. We find that these constraints cannot be simultaneously satisfied using at most five stacks of D-branes, but that certain six-stack models can do so.

As in [9], we take the space B transverse to the D4-branes to be $C^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$, where the point group generator θ of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ acts on the two complex coordinates of B with twist vector $v = \frac{1}{3}(1, -1)$. We too choose the first stack to have $N_1 = 3$ D4-branes and to be sitting at a singular point of B with wrapping numbers $(n_1, m_1) = (1, 0)$. In general the integers n_a and m_a count the number of times that the 1-cycle wrapped by the stack a wraps the two basis 1-cycles defining the torus T^2 ; there is no loss of generality in this choice of wrapping numbers for the first stack. θ is embedded in the stack as $\gamma_\theta = \alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$ which generates a $U(3) \supset SU(3)_c$ gauge group. Here $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $p = 0, 1$ or 2 . As in [9], we also choose the second stack to generate a $U(2) \supset SU(2)_L$ gauge group by taking $N_2 = 2$ D4-branes and embedding θ as $\gamma_\theta = \alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$, where $q \neq p \bmod 3$. The wrapping numbers are $(n_2, 3)$, so that the (12) intersection produces $I_{12} \equiv n_1 m_2 - n_2 m_1 = 3$ quark doublets Q_L . Note that, unlike in the bottom-up approach [2], the number of generations is not determined by the choice of the point group $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Since further non-abelian gauge groups are not required, all remaining stacks have just one D4-brane. Without loss of generality these remaining stacks are split into three sets I, J and K having $\gamma_\theta = \alpha^q, \alpha^r$ and α^p respectively, where p, q, r are a permutation of $0, 1, 2$. The wrapping numbers for the stack $i \in I$ are (n_i, m_i) , and similarly for $j \in J$ and $k \in K$. Table 1 summarizes the assignments. The gauge group resulting from these stacks of branes is at least

Stack a	N_a	(n_a, m_a)	γ_θ
1	3	$(1, 0)$	$\alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$
2	2	$(n_2, 3)$	$\alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$
$i \in I$	1	(n_i, m_i)	α^q
$j \in J$	1	(n_j, m_j)	α^r
$k \in K$	1	(n_k, m_k)	α^p

Table 1: Multiplicities, wrapping numbers and Chan-Paton phases for the D4-brane stacks, ($p \neq q \neq r \neq p$).

$$\prod_a U(N_a) = U(3) \times U(2) \times \prod_i U(1)_i \times \prod_j U(1)_j \times \prod_k U(1)_k \quad (1)$$

When n_a and m_a have a greatest common divisor greater than 1 or one of the n_a or m_a is zero, there may be additional gauge group factors. The wrapping numbers are constrained by the requirements of twisted tadpole cancellation, which for the system described above gives

$$3 + \sum_k n_k = 2n_2 + \sum_i n_i = \sum_j n_j \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_k m_k = 6 + \sum_i m_i = \sum_j m_j \quad (3)$$

The spectrum of these models is generically chiral, so potentially there are gauge anomalies. In general, tadpole cancellation is sufficient to ensure cancellation of the cubic non-abelian anomalies, which in our case arise only for the $SU(3)$ group. Each of the stacks provides a $U(1)$ gauge group, and the weak hypercharge Y is in general a linear combination of the $U(1)$ charges Q_a associated with the a th stack:

$$Y = c_1 Q_1 + c_2 Q_2 + \sum_i c_i Q_i + \sum_j c_j Q_j + \sum_k c_k Q_k \quad (4)$$

For convenience we have suppressed any reference to any extra $U(1)$ factors which have been discussed after eq.(1). These are trivial to incorporate.

So we must also ensure that mixed $U(1)_Y - SU(3)_c$ and $U(1)_Y - SU(2)_L$ anomalies are absent, and their cancellation imposes further constraints on the wrapping numbers. To find them we must first fix the coefficients c_a . The quark doublets Q_L that arise from the (12) intersection have $Q_1 = 1$, $Q_2 = -1$ and $Q_a = 0$, $a \neq 1, 2$. Thus we require

$$c_1 - c_2 = \frac{1}{6} \quad (5)$$

The $(1i)$ intersections, with $i \in I$, yield colour triplet, $SU(2)$ singlet fermions, which we require to be right-chiral u or d quarks, so

$$c_1 - c_i = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{1}{3} \quad (6)$$

Similarly for the sets J, K . Thus we split the sets I, J and K into $I_{1,2}, J_{1,2}$ and $K_{1,2}$ with

$$c_1 - c_{i_1, j_1, k_1} = \frac{2}{3} \quad (7)$$

$$c_1 - c_{i_2, j_2, k_2} = -\frac{1}{3} \quad (8)$$

where $i_1 \in I_1$ etc. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \left(c_1 + \frac{1}{3} \right) \left(Q_1 + Q_2 + \sum_i Q_i + \sum_j Q_j + \sum_k Q_k \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{3} Q_1 + \frac{1}{2} Q_2 + \sum_{i_1} Q_{i_1} + \sum_{j_1} Q_{j_1} + \sum_{k_1} Q_{k_1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that the combination $Q_1 + Q_2 + \sum_i Q_i + \sum_j Q_j + \sum_k Q_k$ is zero for all of the chiral fermions, so henceforth we take

$$Y = - \left(\frac{1}{3} Q_1 + \frac{1}{2} Q_2 + \sum_{i_1} Q_{i_1} + \sum_{j_1} Q_{j_1} + \sum_{k_1} Q_{k_1} \right) \quad (10)$$

Using (3), the cancellation of the mixed $U(1)_Y - SU(3)_c$ anomaly then requires that the wrapping numbers satisfy

$$\sum_{i_1} m_{i_1} + \sum_{j_1} m_{j_1} - 2 \sum_{k_1} m_{k_1} = -3 \quad (11)$$

Chiral $SU(2)$ doublet fermions arise from the $I_{2a} = n_2 m_a - 3 n_a$ intersections between the second stack and the a th stack, and cancellation of the mixed $U(1)_Y - SU(2)_L$ anomaly requires that the wrapping numbers also satisfy

$$n_2 \left(\sum_{i_1} m_{i_1} + 1 - \sum_{k_1} m_{k_1} \right) + \sum_{j_1} n_{j_1} - 2 \sum_{i_1} n_{i_1} + \sum_{k_1} n_{k_1} = -1 \quad (12)$$

where we have used eqns.(2),(3) and (11).

All intersecting branes give chiral fermions, but in addition tachyonic scalars arise whenever the Chan-Paton phases of the intersecting branes coincide. Thus we get $SU(2)_L$ doublet tachyons at $(2i_1)$ and $(2i_2)$ intersections, charged singlet tachyons from $(i_1 i_2), (j_1 j_2)$ and $(k_1 k_2)$ intersections, and $SU(3)_c$ colour triplet tachyons from $(1k_1)$ and $(1k_2)$ intersections. Doublet tachyons may be interpreted as electroweak Higgs scalars, but the charged singlet and colour triplet tachyons are less welcome. To avoid the latter, the stacks in the sets $K_{1,2}$ must not intersect with those in the first stack, and this requires

$$m_{k_1} = 0 = m_{k_2} \quad \forall k_1 \in K_1, \quad \forall k_2 \in K_2 \quad (13)$$

Stack a	N_a	(n_a, m_a)	γ_θ
1	3	$(1, 0)$	$\alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$
2	2	$(n_2, 3)$	$\alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$
$3 = i_1$	1	$(1 - n_2, -3)$	α^q
$4 = i_2$	1	$(1 - n_2, -3)$	α^q
$5 = j_1$	1	$(2, 0)$	α^r
$6 = k_1$	1	$(-1, 0)$	α^p

Table 2: Multiplicities, wrapping numbers and Chan-Paton phases for the six-stack models, ($p \neq q \neq r \neq p$).

Similarly, to avoid charged singlet tachyons we require

$$I_{i_1 i_2} = 0 = I_{j_1 j_2} \quad \forall i_1 \in I_1, \quad \forall i_2 \in I_2, \quad \forall j_1 \in J_1, \quad \forall j_2 \in J_2 \quad (14)$$

As usual, the Yukawa couplings of the Higgs doublets generate fermion mass terms when the electroweak symmetry is spontaneously broken. The existence of Higgs doublets requires that

$$\sum_{i_1} |I_{2i_1}| + \sum_{i_2} |I_{2i_2}| \neq 0 \quad (15)$$

and we also demand that the model allows the (renormalizable) Yukawa couplings needed to give all quarks masses. This requires that

$$I_1 \neq \emptyset \neq I_2 \quad (16)$$

Yukawa couplings arise from a disk-shaped world sheet with three open-string vertex operators attached to its boundary. The boundaries of the disk are the relevant D4-branes. For example, the insertion of a Q_L vertex operator turns an $a = 1$ stack D4-brane boundary into a $b = 2$ stack D4-brane boundary [11]. The allowed Yukawas satisfy selection rules that derive from a Z_2 symmetry associated with each stack of D4-branes. A state associated with a string between the a th and b th stack of D4 branes is odd under the a th and b th Z_2 and even under any other Z_2 . It is straightforward to show that models with at most five stacks cannot satisfy all of the above constraints. The only six-stack models that do are parametrized by a single integer n_2 and are given in Table 2.

The matter content of these models is easily determined using the results of [8]. In general, there are $n_G = 3$ generations of (massless) chiral fermions plus vector-like fermionic matter and (tachyonic) Higgs doublets of the form

$$\alpha(e^c + \bar{e}^c) + \beta(L + \bar{L}) + \gamma_u(u^c + \bar{u}^c) + \gamma_d(d^c + \bar{d}^c) + hH \quad (17)$$

In our six-stack models this matter content turns out to be independent of n_2 . We find

$$\alpha = 3, \quad \beta = 9, \quad \gamma_u = 0 = \gamma_d, \quad h = 6 \quad (18)$$

Intersection	Multiplicity	Gonion	Mass ²
(12)	3	Fermions $Q_L + Q_L$	$2n 1 - \delta m_H^2$
(13)	3	Fermions $u^c + \bar{u}^c$	$2n \delta m_H^2$
(14)	3	Fermions $d^c + \bar{d}^c$	$2n \delta m_H^2$
(23)	6	Fermions $L + \bar{L}$	$2nm_H^2$
	6	Scalars H	$(2n + 1)m_H^2$
	6	Vectors H	$(2n + 1)m_H^2$
(24)	6	Fermions $L + L$	$2nm_H^2$
	6	Scalars H	$(2n + 1)m_H^2$
	6	Vectors H	$(2n + 1)m_H^2$
(25)	6	Fermions $L + L$	$2n 1 - \delta m_H^2$
(26)	3	Fermions $L + \bar{L}$	$2n 1 - \delta m_H^2$
(45)	6	Fermions $e^c + \bar{e}^c$	$2n \delta m_H^2$
(46)	3	Fermions $e^c + \bar{e}^c$	$2n \delta m_H^2$

Table 3: Gonion multiplicities and masses. n is an integer.

The gauge group is that of the standard model apart from some extra $U(1)$ factors provided $n_2 \neq 0 \bmod 3$. The weak hypercharge Y is given by the superposition of $U(1)$ factors associated with the 6-stacks:

$$-Y = \frac{1}{3}Q_1 + \frac{1}{2}Q_2 + Q_3 + (Q_5^{(1)} + Q_5^{(2)}) + Q_6 \quad (19)$$

The mass of the tachyonic Higgs doublets [9] *does* depend on n_2 and is given by

$$m_H^2 = -\frac{m_s^2 \epsilon(n_2 - \delta)}{2\pi |\delta||1 - \delta|} \quad (20)$$

where m_s is the string scale; ϵ and δ are related to the parameters defining the torus wrapped by the D4-branes. If R_1 and R_2 are the radii of the two fundamental 1-cycles, and θ is the angle between the two vectors defining the lattice, then

$$\epsilon \equiv 2|\cos \theta/2| \quad (21)$$

$$\delta \equiv n_2 - 3R_2/R_1 \quad (22)$$

The above formula for m_H^2 is valid so long as $\epsilon \ll 1$, but in any case $m_H^2 \ll m_s^2$ is required for consistency of the standard model without major contamination by string effects. m_H^2 also sets the scale for the various vector-like gonions that arise below the string scale. Specifically, we find the states whose masses and multiplicities are given in Table 3.

The matter content detailed above contributes to the running of the coupling strengths $\alpha_i(\mu)$ ($i = 3, 2, Y$) when the renormalization scale μ is greater than the

mass of the relevant matter, and we can hence evaluate the coupling strengths at the (unknown) string scale $\mu = m_s$ at which their values are given in terms of the Type II string coupling λ_{II} and the wrapping numbers relevant to the stack producing the gauge group. We assume that the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge vector supermultiplet is massless over the entire range starting from $\mu = m_Z$. There are also Kaluza-Klein and winding modes partners of gauge bosons. The former will have masses above the string scale m_s provided

$$\frac{\alpha_i(m_s)}{\lambda_{II}} \geq 1, \forall i \quad (23)$$

The latter will have masses above m_s if in addition

$$\frac{4\pi}{3} |\epsilon| (n_2 - \delta) (m_s R_1)^2 \geq 1 \quad (24)$$

We shall assume eqns (23) and (24) in what follows. In practice, we find values of $|\epsilon| \sim 10^{-1}$ so that Eq.(24) is satisfied when $R_1 \geq m_s^{-1}$. Then, at the string scale the gauge couplings are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3^{-1}(m_s) &= \alpha_3^{-1}(m_Z) + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{m_s}{m_Z} - \frac{1}{\pi} (N_3 \ln X_3 - \ln N_3!) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} (N_2 \ln X_2 - \ln N_2!) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$X_3 \equiv \left\lfloor \frac{\delta\pi}{\epsilon(n_2 - \delta)} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad N_3 \equiv [X_3] \quad (26)$$

$$X_2 \equiv \left\lfloor \frac{(1 - \delta)\pi}{\epsilon(n_2 - \delta)} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 \equiv [X_2] \quad (27)$$

The integers $N_{2,3}$ count the numbers of colour triplet gonions with masses below m_s . In eqn (25), and eqns (28), (30) below, we have not included the effects of running the gonion and Higgs masses. We find that the gonion contributions to our solutions are small, so there is *post hoc* justification for our approximation.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2^{-1}(m_s) &= \alpha_2^{-1}(m_Z) - \frac{6}{2\pi} \ln \frac{m_h}{m_Z} - \frac{7}{2\pi} \ln \frac{m_s}{m_h} - \frac{3}{\pi} (N_3 \ln X_3 - \ln N_3!) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} (N_1 \ln X_1 - \ln N_1!) - \frac{3}{4\pi} \left[(N_0 + 1) \ln 2X_1 - \ln \frac{(2N_0 + 1)!}{2^{N_0} N_0!} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$X_1 \equiv \left\lfloor \frac{\delta(1 - \delta)\pi}{\epsilon(n_2 - \delta)} \right\rfloor, \quad N_1 \equiv [X_1] \quad \text{and} \quad N_0 \equiv [X_1 - 1/2] \quad (29)$$

N_1 counts the number of lepton doublet gonions below the string scale, and $2N_0 + 1$ is the number of scalar and vector gonions with masses below this scale.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_Y^{-1}(m_s) &= \alpha_Y^{-1}(m_Z) - \frac{50}{6\pi} \ln \frac{m_h}{m_Z} - \frac{53}{6\pi} \ln \frac{m_s}{m_h} - \frac{5}{3\pi} (N_3 \ln X_3 - \ln N_3!) \\ &- \frac{14}{3\pi} (N_2 \ln X_2 - \ln N_2!) - \frac{2}{\pi} (N_1 \ln X_1 - \ln N_1!) \\ &- \frac{3}{4\pi} \left[(N_0 + 1) \ln 2X_1 - \ln \frac{(2N_0 + 1)!}{2^{N_0} N_0!} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

The ratios of the gauge coupling strengths, which depend on the length of the cycles (n_a, m_a) and on the superposition of the $U(1)$ factors in the weak hypercharge [8], are independent of the unknown λ_{II} :

$$\frac{\alpha_2^{-1}(m_s)}{\alpha_3^{-1}(m_s)} = \left[\delta^2 + n_2(n_2 - \delta)\epsilon^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_Y^{-1}(m_s)}{\alpha_3^{-1}(m_s)} = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{\alpha_2^{-1}(m_s)}{2\alpha_3^{-1}(m_s)} + \left[(1 - \delta)^2 + (n_2 - 1)(n_2 - \delta)\epsilon^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (32)$$

where ϵ and δ are defined in equations (21) and (22). Thus, by substituting the solutions (25), (28) and (30) of the renormalization group equations into (31) and (32), we obtain two constraint equations on ϵ and δ .

We also considered the case when the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric partners of the gauge fields acquire masses on the scale of m_s or greater so they do not contribute to the renormalization group equations. In that case, the coefficient of the $\ln \frac{m_s}{m_Z}$ in eqn.(25) is replaced by $\frac{7}{2\pi}$, the coefficients of $\ln \frac{m_h}{m_Z}$ and $\ln \frac{m_s}{m_h}$ in eqn.(28) become $-\frac{4}{3\pi}$ and $-\frac{11}{6\pi}$ respectively, and in eqn.(30) become $-\frac{25}{3\pi}$ and $-\frac{53}{6\pi}$ respectively.

We solve the constraints (31) and (32) numerically for a range of values of the parameters n_2 and m_s , and using the latest values [12] of the standard model parameters which yield

$$\alpha_3^{-1}(m_Z) = 8.403 \quad (33)$$

$$\alpha_2^{-1}(m_Z) = 29.776 \quad (34)$$

$$\alpha_Y^{-1}(m_Z) = 99.124 \quad (35)$$

for the coupling strengths at the weak scale. For given values of the parameters n_2 and m_s , the calculated values of ϵ and δ determine the mass of the tachyonic Higgs doublets using equation (20), and this determines the mass m_h of the physical Higgs particle from

$$m_h^2 = |2m_H^2| \quad (36)$$

The ratio a of the compactification radii is then determined from eqn (22). Our results are summarised in Table 4 for the case of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge sector, and in Table 5 when the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge superpartners are assumed to have masses of order m_s .

n_2	m_s (TeV)	ϵ	m_h (GeV)	a
5	1.0	0.185	137	0.294
5	1.2	0.182	165	0.297
5	1.9	0.176	265	0.304
5	3.0	0.170	425	0.312
10	1.0	0.123	137	0.198
10	1.2	0.121	165	0.199
10	1.9	0.116	265	0.202
10	3.0	0.111	425	0.206
20	1.0	0.074	137	0.119
20	1.2	0.073	165	0.120
20	1.3	0.072	179	0.120
20	1.9	0.069	265	0.121
20	3.0	0.066	425	0.122

Table 4: Predicted values of the physical Higgs mass $m_h \equiv |2m_H^2|^{1/2}$ and the ratio $a \equiv R_1/R_2$ of the compactification radii in the case of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge sector.

We find that it is easy to obtain values of $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $m_H^2 \ll m_s^2$ consistently with a string scale m_s not more than a few TeV. Physical Higgs masses not much greater than the current LEP bound ($m_h > 114$ GeV) [13] are found for some values of the parameters, but these are probably excluded because they are necessarily accompanied by charged, vector-like gonions with similar masses. The general behaviour is that with m_s fixed, m_h falls slowly as n_2 increases, whereas with n_2 fixed, m_h increases as m_s increases. As in [2, 3], with D-branes located at R/Z_3 orbifold fixed points, the mixed $U(1)$ anomalies in the present intersecting brane model are cancelled by a generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism mediated by twisted Ramond-Ramond fields. However, it is unclear whether the anomalous $U(1)$ s survive as global symmetries because of the lack of supersymmetry in the present set-up. Certainly, the Yukawa terms needed to generate the required masses conserve all of the $U(1)$ symmetries, so it seems likely that they are global symmetries. If so, keV-scale axions will remain a problem in these models too. Unlike the models of [2],[3], the present model possesses lepton mass terms as well as quark mass terms, at renormalizable level provided we assign the lepton doublets to (25) interesections rather than the (24) intersection. Also unlike these models our model is free from lepton number violating terms at renormalizable level. On the other hand it shares with those models the virtue of proton decay being perturbatively forbidden as a result of the selection rules discussed after (16) [9], although baryon number B non-conservation with ΔB even is allowed. Masses for the vector-like matter are more problematic because of the lack

n_2	m_s (TeV)	ϵ	m_h (GeV)	a
5	1.0	0.130	145	0.345
5	1.2	0.125	175	0.353
5	1.9	0.112	286	0.370
5	3.0	0.099	466	0.389
10	1.0	0.082	145	0.219
10	1.2	0.078	175	0.222
10	1.9	0.069	286	0.229
10	3.0	0.06	466	0.236
20	1.2	0.045	175	0.128
20	1.3	0.044	191	0.128
20	1.9	0.039	286	0.130
20	3.0	0.034	466	0.132

Table 5: Predicted values of the physical Higgs mass $m_h \equiv |2m_H^2|^{1/2}$ and the ratio $a \equiv R_1/R_2$ of the compactification radii in the case when the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge superpartners have masses of order m_s .

of gauge-singlet scalars amongst the tachyonic states to develop vacuum expectation values. Three of the $L + \bar{L}$ states and three of the $e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c$ states acquire masses at tree-level via the usual $Le_L^c H$ and $\bar{L}\bar{e}_L^c H^\dagger$ couplings. The remaining six $L + \bar{L}$ pairs are able to acquire masses via non-renormalizable terms of the form $L\bar{L}HH^\dagger$; the latter are suppressed by a factor $\langle H \rangle / m_s$.

In conclusion, we have found a unique class of six-stack standard-like models that give masses to all of the matter, and are free of charged singlet (and colour triplet) tachyons. We find it relatively easy to ensure that the Higgs mass is small compared with the 1 – 3 TeV string scale, while consistently reproducing the observed values of the gauge coupling constants at the electroweak scale, and achieving the values required by the string theory at the string scale. However, our models *do* have extra light, vector-like lepton states (but not quark states), and Higgs doublets, as well as the towers of gonions characteristic of all theories of this type. There are also three extra non-anomalous $U(1)$ gauge groups under which the matter is charged.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by PPARC. We are grateful to Angel Uranga for pointing out the error in the previous version of this paper concerning the generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism.

References

- [1] G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, JHEP 0001(2000)031, hep-th/9909172; I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis and T. Tomaras, Phys.Lett.B486(2000)186, hep-th/0004214; R. Blumenhagen, L. Goerlich, B. Koers and D. Lüst, JHEP 0010(2000)006, hep-th/0007024; Z. Kakushadze, Phys.Rev. D59(1999)045007; Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu and S.-H. Henry Tye, Nucl.Phys.B533(1998)25; J. Lykken, E. Poppitz, S. P. Trivedi, Nucl.Phys.B543(1999)105; G. Aldazabal and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 9910 (1999) 024, hep-th/9908072; I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, Phys.Lett.B 464 (1999)38; S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102(1999)685; C. Angelantonj, Nucl.Phys.B 566(2000)126;
- [2] G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez, F. Quevedo and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 0008 (2000) 002, hep-th/0005067
- [3] D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, Physics Letters B 502 (2001) 209, hep-th/0011289
- [4] We are grateful to Mark Hindmarsh for pointing this out.
- [5] M. Berkooz, M. R. Douglas and R. G. Leigh, Nuclear Physics b480 (1996) 265, hep-th/9606139
- [6] C. Bachas, *A way to break supersymmetry*, hep-th/9503030
- [7] R. Blumenhagen, L. Görlich, B. Kors and D. Lüst, JHEP 0010 (2000) 006, hep-th/0007024
- [8] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, hep-th/0011073
- [9] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 0102 (2001) 047, hep-ph/0011132
- [10] C. Angelantonj, I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas and A. Sagnotti, Phys.Lett.B489(2000)223, hep-th/0007090; R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs and D. Lüst, hep-th/0012156; L. E. Ibáñez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadan, hep-th/0105155; S. Förste, G. Honecker and R. Schreyer, hep-th/0105208; R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs D. Lüst and T. Ott, hep-th/0107138; M. Cvetič, G. Shiu and A. M. Uranga, hep-th/0107166

- [11] G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, JHEP 0002 (2000) 015, hep-th/0005067
- [12] Reports of the working groups on precision calculations for LEP2 Physics, S. Jadach, G. Passarino, R. Pittau (Eds.), CERN Yellow Report, CERN, 2000-009
- [13] OPAL Collaboration, hep-ex/0107029